

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

APPLICATION OF CINCINNATI BELL)	
TELEPHONE COMPANY FOR AUTHORITY TO)	
INCREASE AND ADJUST ITS RATES AND)	CASE NO. 94-355
CHARGES AND TO CHANGE REGULATIONS)	
AND PRACTICES AFFECTING THE SAME)	

O R D E R

On July 3, 1995, the Commission granted rehearing to Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company ("Cincinnati Bell") concerning the regulatory treatment of inside-wire maintenance plans. The Commission further required BellSouth Telecommunications Corporation d/b/a South Central Bell Telephone Company ("South Central Bell") to participate and ordered testimony to be prefiled by Cincinnati Bell, South Central Bell, and intervenors.

On August 4, 1995, GTE South Incorporated ("GTE") filed a motion for full intervention. GTE has a special interest which is not otherwise adequately represented and the intervention is likely to present issues and develop facts that will assist the Commission in fully considering the matter without unduly complicating or disrupting the proceedings.

On July 7, 1995, the Attorney General, by and through his Public Service Litigation Branch ("Attorney General"), filed a motion to establish a procedural schedule requesting additional discovery to include a request for information and supplemental

request for information. On July 17, 1995, South Central Bell responded to the Attorney General's motion.

South Central Bell objects, asserting that the Attorney General has had ample opportunity to request information of Cincinnati Bell in this proceeding and has already requested 63 items from South Central Bell in Case No. 94-121.¹ South Central Bell further moves that its responses to Items 340-353 of the Attorney General's Second Data Request in Case No. 94-121 be incorporated by reference into this proceeding. On July 25, 1995, the Attorney General replied.

The Attorney General has previously availed himself of opportunities to discover information from Cincinnati Bell and South Central Bell. However, a supplemental data request may be helpful to the Commission. Accordingly, the motion should be granted in part.

The Commission, having considered the motions of the Attorney General, South Central Bell, and GTE and having been otherwise sufficiently advised, HEREBY ORDERS that:

1. The Attorney General's motion for data requests and supplemental requests to Cincinnati Bell and South Central Bell is hereby granted in part.

2. South Central Bell's motion to incorporate its responses from Case No. 94-121 regarding inside-wire maintenance programs into the record of this proceeding is hereby granted.

¹ Case No. 94-121, Application of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., d/b/a South Central Bell Telephone Company to Modify Its Method of Regulation.

3. The motion of GTE to intervene is granted.

4. GTE shall be entitled to the full rights of a party and shall be served with the Commission's Orders and with filed testimony, exhibits, pleadings, correspondence, and all other documents submitted by parties after the date of this Order.

5. Should GTE file documents of any kind with the Commission in the course of these proceedings, it shall also serve a copy of said documents on all other parties of record.

6. Within 20 days of the date of this Order, the Attorney General may request supplemental information from South Central Bell and Cincinnati Bell Telephone and information from GTE concerning its inside-wire maintenance programs, with responses due 40 days thereafter.

7. Within 60 days of the date of this Order, GTE shall file prefiled testimony including the name of any witness or witnesses.

8. Within 90 days of the date of this Order, the Attorney General shall prefile testimony on all issues regarding inside-wire maintenance plans.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 29th day of August, 1995.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION


For the Commission

ATTEST:


Executive Director